

Evidence behind every answer.

Explore open-ended research tasks with explicit standards for source quality, useful synthesis, and fit to the user's needs.

Task and inputs

Compare three approaches to a business problem and produce a sourced recommendation that respects the brief's constraints.

A decision brief, audience, budget, time horizon, and source policy. Define what is already known, which questions need research, and what a useful recommendation must enable.

Working steps

1. Translate the request into decision criteria and search questions, noting missing information that could change the answer.
2. Gather relevant evidence, compare source dates and authority, and record disagreements instead of flattening them into certainty.
3. Compare options against the same criteria, explain trade-offs, and propose an actionable next step with supporting citations.

Deliverables

- Research brief
- Evidence map
- Reference report
- Scoring rubric

Review and acceptance

Check citation support, coverage, reasoning, uncertainty, and whether the recommendation can be acted on.

- Each material factual claim is supported by a relevant, accessible source.
- Advice respects the user's constraints and addresses the decision behind the question.
- The report separates evidence, assumptions, and judgment, with uncertainty reflected in the recommendation.

Delivery boundary

Open-ended tasks can admit several good answers. The rubric evaluates support and usefulness against the brief; its weights are not measured model performance.

This guide describes a project approach. It is not a customer result, a performance benchmark, or a statement of certification.

Related guide: </programs/search> | Browse downloadable demonstrations at </samples>.